

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/603,405	06/24/2003	Christ Pher Oriakhi	200300746-1	4185
7590 08/19/2004 HEWLETT-PACKARD COMPANY Intellectual Property Administration			EXAMINER	
			MARCANTONI, PAUL D	
P.O. Box 27240	00		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
Fort Collins, C	O 80527-2400		1755	
			DATE MAILED: 08/19/2004	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

			1				
	Application No.	Applicant(s)	7				
	10/603,405	ORIAKHI ET AL.					
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit					
	Paul Marcantoni	1755					
The MAILING DATE of this communication apperiod for Reply	ppears on the cover sheet with the	correspondence address					
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REP THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a re - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory perio - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statu. Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mail earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	1. 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be eply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) d d will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS fro tte, cause the application to become ABANDON	timely filed ays will be considered timely. m the mailing date of this communication. JED (35 U.S.C. § 133).					
Status							
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 6/2	4/03 filing date.						
· <u> </u>							
	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.						
Disposition of Claims							
4) Claim(s) <u>1-41</u> is/are pending in the applicatio 4a) Of the above claim(s) <u>12-33</u> is/are withdra 5) Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) Claim(s) is/are rejected. 7) Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) <u>1-11 and 34-41</u> are subject to restrict	awn from consideration.						
Application Papers							
9) The specification is objected to by the Examin							
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) ac							
Applicant may not request that any objection to the		` '					
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the E	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	, ,					
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119							
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority document 2. Certified copies of the priority document 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority document application from the International Bureat* See the attached detailed Office action for a list	nts have been received. Its have been received in Applica Drity documents have been receiven The properties of the prope	tion No red in this National Stage					
Attachment(s)							
Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	4) Ll Interview Summar Paper No(s)/Mail D						
Notice of Dratsperson's Patent Drawing Review (P10-946) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08 Paper No(s)/Mail Date		Patent Application (PTO-152)					

Art Unit: 1755

Restriction to one of the following inventions is required under 35 U.S.C. 121:

- Claims 1--11, drawn to a method of making a 3-dimensional object, classified in class 427, subclass 427+.
- II. Claims 34-41, drawn to a layered article (not a composition), classified in class 428, subclass 300+.

Note: Claims 12-33 are withdrawn from the restriction because they are improper claims and do not fall under any of the statutory classes of invention of 35 USC 101. It appears they would be grouped with Group II yet this is uncertain. Nevertheless, a *system* is not proper because it is non-statutory and had a rejection been made, would have been rejected under 35 USC 101 and 35 USC 112 second paragraph. Claims to a "system" is not an apparatus (ie machine), an article, composition, or process. It is respectfully requested that applicants delete these claims in their next response to the restriction.

Also, with respect to Group II, applicants claim a *composition* yet a composition does not have structure. The applicants are essentially claiming a layered article. A composition cannot have layers either but an article can. Correction is respectfully requested.

Application/Control Number: 10/603,405

Art Unit: 1755

The inventions are distinct, each from the other because of the following reasons:

Inventions I and II are related as process of making and product made. The inventions are distinct if either or both of the following can be shown: (1) that the process as claimed can be used to make other and materially different product or (2) that the product as claimed can be made by another and materially different process (MPEP § 806.05(f)). In the instant case, the layered article can be made differently. The liquid vehicle can be applied by brushing, immersion, spraying, ink-jetting, etc. The three dimensional article can be made by making molded forms of the number of layers desired and prior to setting the layers can be stacked simultaneously to form the layered article.

Because these inventions are distinct for the reasons given above and have acquired a separate status in the art as shown by their different classification, restriction for examination purposes as indicated is proper.

A telephone call was made to Bradley Haymond on 8/18/04 to request an oral election to the above restriction requirement, but did not result in an election being made.

Applicant is advised that the reply to this requirement to be complete must include an election of the invention to be examined even though the requirement be traversed (37 CFR 1.143).

Applicant is reminded that upon the cancellation of claims to a non-elected invention, the inventorship must be amended in compliance with 37 CFR 1.48(b) if one or more of the currently named inventors is no longer an inventor of at least one claim

Art Unit: 1755

remaining in the application. Any amendment of inventorship must be accompanied by a request under 37 CFR 1.48(b) and by the fee required under 37 CFR 1.17(i).

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Paul Marcantoni whose telephone number is 571-272-1373. If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Mark Bell can be reached on 571-272-1362. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Paul Marcantoni Primary Examiner Art Unit 1755